# Cambridge International AS and A Level Physics

# 9702

# Paper 5 – Planning, Analysis and Evaluation

For examination from 2016



**Cambridge Advanced** 

In order to help us develop the highest quality Curriculum Support resources, we are undertaking a continuous programme of review; not only to measure the success of our resources but also to highlight areas for improvement and to identify new development needs.

We invite you to complete our survey by visiting the website below. Your comments on the quality and relevance of Cambridge Curriculum Support resources are very important to us.

#### https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/GL6ZNJB

Do you want to become a Cambridge consultant and help us develop support materials?

Please follow the link below to register your interest.

http://www.cie.org.uk/cambridge-for/teachers/teacherconsultants/

Cambridge International Examinations retains the copyright on all its publications. Registered Centres are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use. However, we cannot give permission to Centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within a Centre.

# Contents

Contents	3
Introduction	4
Assessment at a glance	6
Paper 5 – Planning, Analysis and Evaluation	8
Question 1	8
Question 2	.18

# Introduction

The main aim of this booklet is to exemplify standards for those teaching Cambridge International AS & A Level Physics (9702), and to show how different levels of candidates' performance (high, middle and low) relate to the subject's curriculum and assessment objectives.

In this booklet candidate responses have been chosen to exemplify a range of answers. Each response is accompanied by a brief commentary explaining the strengths and weaknesses of the answers.

For each question, each response is annotated with a clear explanation of where and why marks were awarded or omitted. This, in turn, is followed by examiner comments on how the answer could have been improved. In this way it is possible for you to understand what candidates have done to gain their marks and what they will have to do to improve their answers. At the end there is a list of common mistakes candidates made in their answers for each question.


This document provides illustrative examples of candidate work. These help teachers to assess the standard required to achieve marks, beyond the guidance of the mark scheme. Some question types where the answer is clear from the mark scheme, such as short answers and multiple choice, have therefore been omitted.

The questions, mark schemes and pre-release material used here are available to download as a zip file from Teacher Support as the Example Candidate Responses Files. These files are:

Question Paper 22, June 2016				
Question paper	9702_s16_qp_22.pdf			
Mark scheme	9702_s16_ms_22.pdf			
Question Paper 33, June 2016				
Question paper	9702_s16_qp_33.pdf			
Mark scheme	9702_s16_ms_33.pdf			
Question Paper 42, June 2016				
Question paper	9702_s16_qp_42.pdf			
Mark scheme	9702_s16_ms_42.pdf			
Question Paper 52, June 2016				
Question paper	9702_s16_qp_52.pdf			
Mark scheme	9702_s16_ms_52.pdf			

Past papers, Examiner Reports and other teacher support materials are available on Teacher Support at https://teachers.cie.org.uk

# How to use this booklet



#### How the candidate could have improved their answer

(a) The question was an application of diffraction a needed to apply their knowledge to the application interference needed to be applied to the productior applications as well as learning basic theory is requ

This explains how the candidate could have improved their answer and helps you to interpret the standard of Cambridge exams and helps your learners to refine exam technique.

(b) The diffraction grating equation was used and the given data interpreted correctly. There was a mathematical error in the calculation and the final answer was not realistic. The candidate needed to be more familiar with likely values for applications of basic theory.

#### Common mistakes candidates made in this question

(a) Diffraction was described as the bending of light. diffraction is a wave property and hence diffraction a have passed through the diffraction element. The eff was not described for this specific example.

This lists the common mistakes candidates made in answering each question. This will help your learners to avoid these mistakes at the exam and give them the best chance of achieving a high mark.

(b) The angle given on the diagram was used as the angle on the dimaction graung equation. The distance *d* was quoted as the number of lines per mm *N*. There were power of ten errors converting *d* in metres to *N* in mm<sup>-1</sup>.

# Assessment at a glance

Candidates for Advanced Subsidiary (AS) certification take Papers 1, 2 and 3 in a single examination series.

Candidates who, having received AS certification, wish to continue their studies to the full Advanced Level qualification may carry their AS marks forward and take Papers 4 and 5 in the examination series in which they require certification.

Candidates taking the full Advanced Level qualification at the end of the course take all five papers in a single examination series.

#### Candidates may only enter for the papers in the combinations indicated above.

#### Candidates may not enter for single papers either on the first occasion or for resit purposes.

All components are externally assessed.


Component		Weighting	
		A Level	
Paper 1 Multiple Choice 1 hour 15 minutes			
This paper consists of 40 multiple choice questions, all with four options. All questions will be based on the AS Level syllabus content. Candidates will answer all questions.		15.5%	
Candidates will answer on an answer sheet. [40 marks]			
Paper 2 AS Level Structured Questions 1 hour 15 minutes			
This paper consists of a variable number of questions of variable mark value. All questions will be based on the AS Level syllabus content. Candidates will answer all questions.	46%	23%	
Candidates will answer on the question paper. [60 marks]			
Paper 3 Advanced Practical Skills 2 hours			
This paper requires candidates to carry out practical work in timed conditions. The paper will consist of two experiments drawn from different areas of physics. The experiments may be based on physics not included in the syllabus content, but candidates will be assessed on their practical skills rather than their knowledge of theory. Candidates will answer both questions.	23%	11.5%	
Candidates will answer on the question paper. [40 marks]			
Paper 4 A Level Structured Questions 2 hours			
This paper consists of a variable number of questions of variable mark value. All questions will be based on the A Level syllabus but may require knowledge of material first encountered in the AS Level syllabus. Candidates will answer all questions.		38.5%	
Candidates will answer on the question paper. [100 marks]			


Component		Weighting	
		AS Level	A Level
Paper 5 Planning, Analysis and Evaluation	1 hour 15 minutes		
This paper consists of two questions of equal mark value based on the practical skills of planning, analysis and evaluation. The context of the questions may be outside the syllabus content, but candidates will be assessed on their practical skills of planning, analysis and evaluation rather than their knowledge of theory. Candidates will answer both questions.		-	11.5%
Candidates will answer on the question paper.	[30 marks]		

Teachers are reminded that the latest syllabus is available on our public website at **www.cie.org.uk** and Teacher Support at **https://teachers.cie.org.uk** 

# Paper 5 – Planning, Analysis and Evaluation

## **Question 1**






Example candidate response - high, continued **Examiner comments** 6 6 Speed camera is not noints Camera and detector Ilsing. the Sheed worthy of credit. Lu. dividina the shanao. 12mino necelevation The equation does not 6 Kiral velocity - Initial Welocity gain credit on its own; taken . tine an appropriate. time taken. workable method is needed to determine a. the another magnet with the with Allar. Isollon and magnet . retord stand. The force of will provide the force attraction to move up the troller inclined rann To 7 Three marks are awarded for a clear analysis section. An Accelesation norainst Kin 6 aranh appropriate graph is relationshin aranh eleana amen 18 suggested and the candidate has with asadient alcreana ULION identified the condition F - k for the relationship to be valid. m m (u - intercent 8 A D mark is awarded for correctly identifying the y-intercept or for nsecontion correctly rearranging Jouch while the on The the equation on page nowing not Samn 20 2. The third A mark is hands. Wear Thick the rubber loves. man incure Ilse a sand tray The awarded for correctly Trolley will fall into on the identifying k. Than falling linno bench neolocios Minimize lesor measurena Make. large Changes ana noticeable acceleration shanae. .To n A safety precaution is none. 9 credited in the from the presultine. Same and hoin additional detail sheed changes. with section. lenat determining Same Samn. hor The candidate also ristance Should Greater 190 larae changes In. Xheon scores the second P mark for the last everyctime with 2amo Samn minimum ane siction Jo sentence. teller : Smooth movement some and there should Korce annied Should be ho mind external forces like from fan. Total marks awarded = [Total: 15] 11 out of 15 9


The candidate could have included more detail on methods of data collection, for example, the mass of the trolley needed to be measured. Furthermore, the determination of the acceleration should have been more detailed and included laboratory measurements, with a relevant equation using the measurements suggested.

There could also have been more additional detail, e.g. an explanation of how the force would be kept constant and detail on repeating the experiment for each angle.

Mark awarded for defining the Problem (P) = 2 out of 2 Mark awarded for Methods of data collection (M) = 3 out of 4 Mark awarded for method of Analysis (A) = 3 out of 3 Mark awarded for additional Detail (D) = 3 out of 6

#### Total marks awarded = 11 out of 15





More detail could have been given in the methods of data collection. A little more care taken with the diagram would also have helped. The mass of the trolley also needed to be measured.

#### Paper 5 - Planning, Analysis and Evaluation


The candidate sensibly suggested the use of light gates and a data logger, but should have included much more detail about how the light gates were to be used, what lengths would need to be measured, and how these measurements could be used to determine the acceleration.


The candidate could have improved their answer by analysing the data more effectively. Careful rearrangement of the original relationship into the equation of a straight line, y = mx + c, would have earned an additional detail mark. This would also have scored the second and third analysis marks by enabling the candidate to realise that the relationship would be valid if the graph was a straight line with a *y*-intercept, allowing *k* to be determined correctly.

There were a number of further additional detail marks that could have been awarded, especially for details about repeating results and how the force should be kept constant. The candidate could also have identified other variables, such as the mass of the trolley, that needed to be kept constant as well.

Mark awarded for defining the Problem (P) = 2 out of 2 Mark awarded for Methods of data collection (M) = 2 out of 4 Mark awarded for method of Analysis (A) = 1 out of 3 Mark awarded for additional Detail (D) = 1 out of 6

Total marks awarded = 6 out of 15





The candidate should have drawn a diagram to show how the experiment could work in a laboratory, then described the method to determine the acceleration in greater detail, including how measurements would be made and used. The candidate should have described an appropriate graph showing the relationship of *a* against  $\theta$  and explained how *k* could be determined. There were a number of further additional detail marks that could have been awarded, e.g. details about measurements and experimental techniques experienced during their laboratory course.

Mark awarded for defining the Problem (P) = 2 out of 2 Mark awarded for Methods of data collection (M) = 2 out of 4 Mark awarded for method of Analysis (A) = 0 out of 3 Mark awarded for additional Detail (D) = 1 out of 6

#### Total marks awarded = 5 out of 15

#### Common mistakes candidates made in this question

When defining the problem, candidates often discussed 'controlling' variables rather than stating the variables that need to be kept constant for a fair test. Some candidates did not read the question carefully and designed experiments with the trolley rolling *down* the plane. Others did not show how the inclined plane could be supported or varied.

In general, candidates did not always describe the methods of data collection, in particular the acceleration, in sufficient detail. They also did not mention the relevant measurements and how these measurements would be used. Where candidates suggest data logging procedures, clear explanations were required about the measurements needed. For example, if a piece of card was being used to interrupt a light beam, then the length of the card needed to be measured with a ruler.


When using trigonometry to determine the angle, a clear indication was needed for the lengths to be used as well as a correct relationship.

Many candidates did not describe a graph of *a* against  $\theta$  and, as a consequence, did not gain any further marks in this section. The next mark was awarded for realising that the relationship would be valid if a straight line that did not pass through the origin, in an appropriate graph, was observed. However, many candidates assumed that the straight line *would* pass through the origin. The third mark was for explaining how *k* could be determined. To earn the mark, this required *k* to be the subject of the equation that included the *y*-intercept. Many candidates did not work out the *y*-intercept correctly, with many incorrectly positioned negative signs. One additional detail mark was awarded for the correct rearrangement of the relationship for the graph plotted; this needed to be in the y = mx + c format.

In the additional detail section, vague responses were not awarded marks. The purpose of this section was for candidates to broaden their answers by including appropriate detail based on their practical experience. They were not awarded marks for the statement 'the experiment is repeated and an average is taken'. More detail was required, for example 'for the same angle, the experiment is repeated and *a* is determined again and the average value of *a* is then determined'.


A mark was available for an appropriate safety precaution linked to the trolley falling. Candidates' answers should have given safety detail relevant to the experiment in question rather than general 'textbook' rules for working in a laboratory.


### **Question 2**



cample candidate response – high, continued	Examiner comments
1.2075 ± 0.03 3.1337 ± 0.11	
(b) Values of <i>d</i> and <i>R</i> are given in Fig. 2.2.	
$d/10^{-3} \text{m}$ $R/\Omega = \frac{1}{d^2} / 10^{6} \text{m}^{-2}$	
0.91±0.01 1.6 1.21±0.03	2 The candidate has
$0.56 \pm 0.01$ 4.4 3.19 ± 0.1	labelled the column correctly.
0.46±0.01 6.6 4.73±0.2	The second mark is ne awarded because the
0.38±0.01 9.7 6.93±0.4	last value of 1/d <sup>2</sup> is given to four significar
$0.32 \pm 0.01$ 13.9 $9.77 \pm 0.6$	figures. Since the raw data has been given to
0.27 ± 0.01 19.5 13.72 ± 1	two significant figures, then $1/q^2$ should be
Fig. 2.2 2	given to two (or three)
Calculate and record values of $\frac{1}{d^2}/10^6 \text{m}^{-2}$ in Fig. 2.2.	significant figures.
Include the absolute uncertainties in $\frac{1}{d^2}$ .	[3] Mark for (b) = 2/3
(c) (i) Plot a graph of $R/\Omega$ against $\frac{1}{d^2}/10^6 \text{m}^{-2}$	
Include error bars for $\frac{1}{d^2}$ .	[2]
(ii) Draw the straight line of best fit and a worst acceptable straight line on your graph lines should be clearly labelled.	[2] 🚺 The candidate
(iii) Determine the gradient of the line of best fit. Include the absolute uncertainty i answer.	used on the graph. If
worst acceptable straight line	hypotenuse is more than half the length of
·73,6.6) (13.72,19.5) (1.18,1.6) (14.72,19.1	The calculation shown
triangle drawn 19.5-1.6 = 1.32 1	above allows for the
$\frac{19.5 - 6.6}{(13.7 2 - 4.73)} = 1.43 \times 10^{-6}$ $(13.7 2 - 4.73) \times 10^{6}$	10 <sup>6</sup> on the <i>x</i> -axis. This will assist in part (d).
(13.7 2-4.73)x10 <sup>8</sup>	common mistake is fo candidates not to allow
	for powers of ten on the axes.
3	The determination of
absolute uncertainty= (1-43-1.32)10-6	the absolute uncertainty is clearly
$= 0.11 \times 10^{-1} (1.43 \pm 0.1) 10^{-1}$	6 demonstrated.
gradient =	[2] Mark for (c) (iii) = 2/2









This candidate clearly demonstrated the methods used to determine the answers. The graph was carefully constructed, although the candidate should have labelled the line of best fit and the worst acceptable line.


Two marks were not awarded: one for giving too many significant figures in the data table in part (b) and the other for not changing millimetres to metres in part (e).


Mark awarded = (a) 1/1Mark awarded = (b) 2/3Mark awarded = (c) (i) 2/2, (ii) 2/2, (iii) 2/2Mark awarded = (d) (i) 2/2, (ii) 1/1Mark awarded = (e) 1/2

Total marks awarded = 13 out of 15










(b) The candidate needed to understand significant figures in calculated quantities for this question. The calculated quantity should be given to the same number of significant figures (or one more significant figure) as the least accurate raw data. In this case, the raw data in the last row was given to two significant figures so the calculated data should have been given to two (or three) significant figures. Furthermore, the candidate did not appear to have understood the calculation of values, since they determined the first five rows correctly to two significant figures and then incorrectly added a zero; these values were then incorrectly calculated to three significant figures.


(d) (i) Here the candidate clearly demonstrated their method and gained an answer with the correct power of ten. Unfortunately, the candidate omitted a unit.

(e) Here the candidate gave the value of R to too many significant figures. Since the least accurate data is d, which is given to two significant figures, R should have been given to two or three significant figures. To determine the absolute uncertainty in R, the candidate used a maximum/minimum method. In this case, the candidate attempted to find the minimum R value but did not use the maximum value of d.


Mark awarded = (a) 1/1Mark awarded = (b) 2/3Mark awarded = (c) (i) 2/2, (ii) 2/2, (iii) 2/2Mark awarded = (d) (i) 1/2, (ii) 1/1Mark awarded = (e) 0/2


Total marks awarded = 11 out of 15











The candidate needed to take more care with the calculation of quantities in the table in (b).

When plotting graphs that should produce a linear trend, it is useful to recheck the plotting of points that do not lie on the trend line. This candidate plotted 2.1 instead of 1.2 from the *x*-axis. As a consequence, the line of best-fit was not within tolerance.

The candidate also appeared to have been confused about the determination of uncertainties. They needed to understand the difference between absolute and percentage uncertainties as well as the methods of combining uncertainties either by fractional methods or maximum/minimum methods.

Mark awarded = (a) 0/1Mark awarded = (b) 1/3Mark awarded = (c) (i) 1/2, (ii) 1/2, (iii) 2/2Mark awarded = (d) (i) 1/2, (ii) 0/1Mark awarded = (e) 0/2

Total marks awarded = 6 out of 15

#### Common mistakes candidates made in this question

To gain the highest marks, the presentation of mathematical working requires a clear statement of the equation used, substitution of numbers, leading to the correct answer. Furthermore, the working has to be logical and readable.

(b) The common mistake in the  $1/d^2$  column was stating the last value to four significant figures. Since the raw data was given to two significant figures, it was expected that  $1/d^2$  would be given to two or three significant figures. The majority of candidates calculated the absolute uncertainty correctly; a common error was not doubling the percentage uncertainty for  $d^2$ .

The two main reasons for not being awarded marks in (c) (i) were vertical error bars and drawing large blobs for the plotted points. In (c) (ii) some candidates were careless in drawing the worst acceptable line. Some candidates were not awarded the mark for the worst acceptable line because they used a dashed line and allowed a gap in the dash at the error bar.

(c) (iii) required candidates to determine the gradient of the line of best-fit. When selecting points for the gradient they must lie on the line of best fit. Candidates were not awarded this mark either for misreading their graphs or for quoting values from the table. Some candidates did not use a large enough triangle. A significant number of candidates made a power of ten error, having not used the data from the axes correctly; this was not penalised in this part but in (d) (i).

When determining the resistivity  $\rho$  in (d) (i) it is vital that the working for the answer is clearly shown. The equation should be quoted followed by correct substitution of numerical values, one of which must be the value of the gradient calculated in (c) (iii). Many candidates were not awarded the unit mark and several candidates did not give any unit. A number of candidates were not awarded the second mark due to a power of ten error from determining the gradient.

(d) (ii) There was wide recognition that the percentage uncertainty of  $\rho$  was the sum of the two percentage uncertainties of the two necessary components in the equation, where clear indication of the data used needed to be shown. Those candidates attempting to use a 'maximum/minimum' method were invariably not awarded this mark due to not showing clearly where the data used had originated from or to using incorrect combinations of maximum and minimum values. Some candidates incorrectly subtracted percentage uncertainties.

(e) Here the calculated value of *R* needed to be quoted to two or three significant figures and to be given in a specific range. Again, clear logical working was required. A number of candidates did not allow for *d* being measured in millimetres. To gain the mark for the absolute uncertainty in *R*, candidates who could not demonstrate their understanding by showing the method used were not awarded this mark. It was expected that appropriate equations would be used with substitution of data.

Cambridge International Examinations 1 Hills Road, Cambridge, CB1 2EU, United Kingdom t: +44 1223 553554 f: +44 1223 553558 e: info@cie.org.uk www.cie.org.uk

© Cambridge International Examinations 2017 Version 1.0

