

Cambridge International AS & A Level

PSYCHOLOGY
Paper 4 Specialist Options: Application
MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 60

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Generic levels of response marking grids

Table A: AO2 Application

The table should be used to mark the 10 mark 'Plan a study' questions (9, 10, 11 and 12).

Level	Description	Marks
5	 The response: uses an appropriate method as required by the question. describes a good range of appropriate method-specific features with accurate detail. describes a good range of appropriate general methodological features with accurate detail. shows very good understanding and the plan is coherent and is sufficient for replication. clearly applies knowledge of psychological methodology and terminology involved in planning a study. Uses and shows good understanding of ethical guidelines. 	9–10
4	 The response: uses an appropriate method as required by the question. describes a range of appropriate method-specific features in detail. describes a range of appropriate general methodological features with some in detail. shows good understanding and the plan is coherent. applies knowledge of psychological methodology and terminology involved in planning a study. uses ethical guidelines appropriately. 	7–8
3	 The response: uses an appropriate method as required by the question. describes a range of appropriate method-specific features although these may lack detail. describes some general methodological features although these may lack detail. shows limited understanding and the plan has some coherence. applies some knowledge of psychological methodology and terminology involved in planning a study. refers to ethical guidelines. 	5–6
2	 The response: uses an appropriate method as required by the question. identifies (lists) some appropriate method-specific features. identifies (lists) a limited range of appropriate general methodological features. shows little understanding and the plan would be difficult or impossible to replicate. makes some attempt to apply knowledge of psychological methodology and terminology involved in planning a study. ethical guidelines listed or absent. 	3–4

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme **PUBLISHED**

Level	Description	Marks
1	 The response: may not use the method required by the question. may not answer the question set. identifies a few general and/or method-specific features and detail is limited. shows very little understanding and the plan would be impossible to replicate. makes a limited attempt to apply knowledge of psychological methodology and terminology involved in planning a study. 	1–2
0	 No response worthy of credit. The candidate describes the study listed on the syllabus. The plan is unethical. 	0

Question	Answer	Marks
1	The key study by Chapman and DeLapp (2013) treated an individual with a blood/injection/injury phobia using an exposure hierarchy with applied tension:	
1(a)(i)	Explain what is meant by the term 'exposure hierarchy'.	2
	Syllabus : Key study on treating blood/injection/injury phobia using cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) with applied tension: Chapman and DeLapp (2013).	
	Marks: Award 2 marks for a detailed explanation. Award 1 mark for a partial explanation.	
	 Definitive answer: an exposure hierarchy is a list of situations that an individual fears or avoids that are ordered according to how much anxiety they elicit. The least anxiety-provoking situations are at the bottom while the most anxiety-provoking situations are at the top. Exposure hierarchies typically consist of 10 or so situations. NB: does not need an example from 'this study' 	
1(a)(ii)	Give <u>two</u> examples of situations included in the exposure hierarchy in this study.	2
	Marks: Award 1 mark (2 max) for each appropriate example. Getting a physical or stress test Getting blood pressure taken at CVS (a local pharmacy) or Wal-Mart Getting blood pressure by a nurse Taking blood pressure myself Getting a phlebotomy Seeing someone get a phlebotomy in person Taking my own blood sugar Wife taking my blood sugar Seeing someone get a phlebotomy in a video Wrapping a tourniquet around my arm and touching my veins	
	Definitive answer: • any two situations from the list above. NB answers do not have to be word perfect for credit. NB 'pricking finger' is part of the study but not on the hierarchy.	

Question	Answer	Marks
1(b)	Suggest how blood/injection/injury phobia could be diagnosed without using rating scales. Your suggestion must be ethical.	2
	Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate suggestion identified but not applied.	
	 Answers may include (<u>other appropriate responses to be credited</u>). use a clinical interview where the clinician can ask any question to aid diagnosis (1 mark) they can ask about blood/injection/injury situations and responses to exposure (2 marks). 	
	 use an observation such as a controlled, overt observation where the clinician can control a situation and observe the patient's responses (2 marks). NB any unethical suggestion receives 0 marks. 	
1(c)	Explain <u>one</u> strength and <u>one</u> weakness of the case study research method as used in this study.	4
	Marks: up to 2 marks for each strength and up to 2 marks for each weakness: Award 2 marks for an appropriate strength/weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate strength/weakness stated but not applied.	
	Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Strengths:	
	a case study can be used as a pilot study (1 mark) and it may help others who need treating for vasovagal syncope in relation to medical and other situations (2 marks)	
	'T' was studied as part of his everyday life (1 mark), he was not a participant volunteering to be part of a study, but a person with real-life problems (2 marks)	
	 Weaknesses: 'T' is only one person (not representative of the population) and so generalisations are difficult (1 mark) 'T's' specific experiences regarding fainting and medical procedures may be unique (2 marks) Case studies are difficult (if not impossible) to replicate (1 mark) because of the uniqueness of each case such as 'T' (2 marks) 	

Question	Answer	Marks
2	Depression can be measured using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI).	
2(a)	Outline how a person's level of depression is interpreted using the BDI.	2
	Syllabus 1.2.1 measure of depression: Beck depression inventory.	
	Marks: Award 1 for each correct point (2 marks max) INTERPRETING THE BECK DEPRESSION INVENTORY	
	Now that you have completed the questionnaire, add up the score for each of the twenty-one questions by counting the number to the right of each question you marked. The highest possible total for the whole test would be sixty-three. This would mean you circled number three on all twenty-one questions. Since the lowest possible score for each question is zero, the lowest possible score for the test would be zero. This would mean you circles zero on each question. You can evaluate your depression according to the Table below.	
	Total ScoreLevels of Depression	
	1-10 These ups and downs are considered normal 11-16 Mild mood disturbance 17-20 Borderline clinical depression 21-30 Moderate depression 31-40 Severe depression over 40 Extreme depression	
	Definitive answer:	
	 answer all 21 questions; add up the number 0/1/2/3 allocated to each question. min score 0, max 63 (21 × 3) 	
	 scores out of 63 applied to 6 levels of depression e.g. 0–10 normal; over 40 extreme. 	
2(b)	Suggest one way that depression could be measured, other than by the BDI.	2
	Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate suggestion identified but not applied.	
	Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited). • observation of behaviour using 'depressive behaviours' as behavioural categories over a period of time (1 mark) For example how much/how often a person cries (2 marks)	
	 interview (face-to-face or by telephone) by a person qualified to assess depression (1 mark) with appropriate example (2 marks) questionnaire (something similar to the BDI, perhaps with rating scale) (1 mark) with appropriate example (2 marks) 	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(c)	Explain two weaknesses of the BDI.	4
	 Marks: Up to 2 marks for each weakness Award 2 marks for an appropriate weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate weakness stated but not applied. Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Weaknesses: the BDI assumes that everyone with depression is the same (1 mark) so each person will experience the same 21 symptoms (2 marks) the BDI is nomothetic (similarities between people with depression) (1 mark) rather than idiographic (individual differences) (2 marks) the data gathered by the BDI is quantitative so it ignores any uniqueness that might be revealed if qualitative data were to be collected (1 mark) so unique characteristics of depression are never recorded (2 marks) NB Q requires weakness of the BDI, so generic 'social desirability' scores 0 marks. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
3	From the Key study by Robson et al. (2010) on consumers' responses to table spacing:	
3(a)	Describe the two parts of the online questionnaire used in this study.	4
	Syllabus: 2.2.3 Key study on consumers responses to table spacing: Robson et al. (2011). Marks: Award 2 marks for a detailed description. Award 1 mark for a partial description × 2 For this study, we used a two-part web-based questionnaire to elicit guest responses to images of restaurant tables at varying distances. The first part of the survey asked respondents to report their gender, age, ethnicity, place of residence (urban, suburban, rural), restaurant use frequency, and whether they had work experience in the restaurant industry. These last three variables were selected to help identify respondents who may be more familiar with close table distances, but all the factors are potential moderators of restaurant table preferences. We did not ask about country of birth or citizenship. The second part of the survey measured emotional, intentional, and anticipated behavioral reactions to one of three images of tables for two placed at a distance of 6, 12, or 24 inches away from each other. The questions invoked one of three dining scenarios: dining for business purposes ("Business"), dining with a friend ("Friend"), and dining while on a date ("Romantic"). These three scenarios were selected Definitive answers: Part 1: general participant characteristics (1 mark): age, gender, ethnicity, place of residence, restaurant use frequency and whether they have restaurant work experience (2 marks). Part 2: measures of emotional, intentional, and anticipated behavioural reactions (1 mark) to one of three images of tables for two placed at a distance of 6, 12, or 24 inches away from each other (2 marks).	
3(b)	 Suggest how an observation could be used to gather data on consumers' responses to table spacing. Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate suggestion identified but not applied. Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): covert, non-participant, controlled, structured observation with two observers using behavioural categories (1 mark) assessing the three distances to see whether people 'behave comfortably, as normal or uncomfortably' (2 marks) observation applying any one feature (of the 9 permutations in the study: 6', 12', 24'/ business/fried/romantic date) (1 mark) applied to study (2 marks) NB: 'conduct an observation' receives no credit; HOW it could be used is the question. 	2

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Explain two weaknesses of using online questionnaires to assess personal space in restaurants.	4
	 Marks: Up to 2 marks for each weakness: Award 2 marks for an appropriate weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate weakness stated but not applied. Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Weaknesses: if a participant is not face-to-face they may be more likely to be dishonest in their answers (1 mark) and so data about table spacing preferences may be inaccurate (2 marks) a participant might to lose concentration, pay less attention / get bored more quickly than when face-to-face (1 mark) to one of three images of tables for two placed at a distance of 6, 12, or 24 inches away from each other (2 marks) the sample would only consist of people who can access the internet (1 mark) it therefore is a sample that is not representative of people and their behaviour with regard to restaurant seating (2 marks) the person answering the questions may not be who they say they are (1 mark) and so data about table spacing preferences may not be valid (2 marks) 	

Question	Answer	Marks
4	EEG (electroencephalogram) as a technique has been used to investigate how people respond to advertising media, e.g. Ciceri et al. (2020).	
4(a)	Outline how an EEG can be used to investigate how people respond to advertising media.	2
	Syllabus : 2.5.1 advertising media including types of advertising media: printed, television, internet and smartphone; use of eye-tracking and EEG, including a study, e.g. Ciceri et al. (2020).	
	Marks: Award 2 marks for a detailed explanation. Award 1 mark for a partial explanation. A widely used method to detect brain activity is EEG. This technique measures the cortical activation of the participants by means of electrodes placed along the surface of the scalp. A high temporal resolution enables the EEG to detect brain activity associated with changing stimuli (Ohme et al., 2009; Vecchiato et al., 2014). The benefit in using EEG for testing television commercials was demonstrated by a study that provided a continuous record of arousal data directly related to a specific stimulus (Deitz, Royne, Peasley, Huang, et al., 2016).	
	 Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited). a stimulus presented to the participant (on a screen or through a VR) different types of advertisement are presented (or whatever advertising stimulus is being studied) the Emotiv EPOC+ headset (or any EEG) to record electrical activity 	
	Figure 3 Electroencephalography Epoc Emotiv Headset	
	 different activity results from different stimuli/type of advert being presented. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
4(b)	Suggest <u>one</u> way to investigate how people respond to advertising media, other than by using an EEG.	2
	Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate suggestion identified but not applied.	
	 Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited). the use of eye-tracking is possible (1 mark) [this is also included in the Ciceri et al. study] so advertising media is presented and what a participant looks at is recorded (2 marks). a questionnaire (or interview) (inc format & technique) can be used (1 mark) to ask participants questions about an advertisement they have seen (2 marks) an fMRI can be used (1 mark) [The study by Knutson et al. (2007), no longer on syllabus, but may be used] to study neural predictors of purchases (2 marks). 	
4(c)	Explain <u>one</u> strength and <u>one</u> weakness of studying how people respond to advertising media using an EEG.	4
	Marks: up to 2 marks for each strength and up to 2 marks for each weakness: Award 2 marks for an appropriate strength/weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate strength/weakness stated but not applied.	
	Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Strengths	
	 'brain wave' data is objective (1 mark) if an evoked potential is recorded in response to some advertisement, then it is fact (2 marks) the data is valid (measures what it claims) (1 mark) because the DV can be directly recorded in response to the advertising stimulus (2 marks) 	
	Weaknesses • the equipment may not be 100% accurate; may miss some potentials (1 mark) and so data in response to an advertising stimulus may be false (2 marks)	
	the EEG headset may be uncomfortable for participants to wear (1 mark) and so may distract them from the task resulting in confounding (2 marks)	

Question	Answer	Marks
5	The key study by Shoshani and Steinmetz (2014) on using positive psychology in schools used questionnaires to gather data. These included the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE) and the General Self-Efficacy Scale, both of which used four-point scales.	
5(a)(i)	Outline the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE).	2
	Syllabus 3.5.3 Key study on using positive psychology in schools to improve mental health: Shoshani and Steinmetz (2014).	
	Marks: Award 1 mark for each correct point (2 marks max) The RSE is a 10-item unidimensional measure of a person's overall evaluation of his or her worthiness as a human being. The RSE is the most widely used measure of self-esteem and requires respondents to rate items on a 4-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). The RSES contains an equal number of positively (e.g., people feeling satisfied with life) and negatively (e.g., people feeling they are failures) worded items. After reversing the negatively worded items of the RSE, responses are summed to generate scores ranging from 10 to 40, with lower scores indicating lower self-esteem. The RSE (Rosenberg 1965) had a coefficient alpha of 0.77. In the current study, tests of internal consistency produced Cronbach's alpha coefficients of 0.83–0.86 for the RSE measure.	
	 Definitive answer: measures worthiness as a human being. includes ten items has positively and negatively worded items (e.g. people feeling satisfied with life) Items rated on a 4-point Likert scale (1 strongly disagree to 4 strongly agree; no neutral) NB 0 marks for 'self-esteem' 	
5(a)(ii)	Outline the General Self-Efficacy Scale.	2
	Marks: Award 1 mark for each correct point (2 marks max) reflecting higher levels of self-efficacy. In this study, the Cronbach's alpha coefficients measured in the different measurement points were $\alpha=0.82-0.87$. This scale was developed to assess a general sense of perceived self-efficacy to predict coping with daily hassles and stressful events (e.g., "I can always manage to solve difficult problems if I try hard enough", "I am confident that I could deal efficiently with unexpected events"). It contains 10 items, and responses are made on a 4 point scale ranging from 1-not at all to 4-exactly true. Summed responses yield a total score, with higher scores	
	 Definitive answer: measures perceived self-efficacy e.g. 'I can always manage to solve difficult problems if I try hard enough' to predict coping with daily hassles and stressful events it includes ten items, items rated on a 4-point Likert-scale 1 = not at all to 4 exactly true 	

Question	Answer	Marks
5(b)	Suggest <u>one</u> health promotion strategy that could be used to promote mental health in schools, other than the strategy referred to in this study.	2
	Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate effect suggestion but not applied.	
	 Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited). providing information is the strategy used in this study, but credit if the answer is different (1 mark) [this study: participants were involved in a positive psychology school-based intervention aimed at enhancing mental health and empowering staff and students (2 marks). fear arousal could be used (1 mark) aimed at enhancing mental health and empowering the entire educational staff and students (2 marks) credit 'positive reinforcement' (1 mark) example of Tapper et al. (2003, food dudes) 	
5(c)	Explain <u>one</u> strength and <u>one</u> weakness of using four-point scales in this study.	4
	Marks: up to 2 marks for each strength and up to 2 marks for each weakness. Award 2 marks for an appropriate strength/weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate strength/weakness stated but not applied.	
	Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Strengths:	
	a four-point scale response must be either agree or disagree (even if 51/49) (1 mark) this means that self-esteem ratings can be determined (Rosenberg Questionnaire gives self-esteem scores of either agree or disagree) (2 marks).	
	it does not allow any participant to 'tick' neutral for every option item (1 mark) if they do not want their true self-esteem score to be known (2 marks) Weekness	
	 Weakness a four-point scale allows no opt-out/neutral (1 mark) so no-one can say their self-esteem is neutral even if it is (2 marks). a person might genuinely not be able to make a decision either way, but can't opt out (1 mark) or the question is ambiguous (1 mark) or isn't understood (1 mark) so a person has to commit themselves to a false response about their mental health (2 marks). 	

Question	Answer	Marks
6(a)	Explain what is meant by a clinical interview. Do <u>not</u> refer to observing patients' behaviour in your answer.	2
	Syllabus 3.3.2 subjective measures including clinical interview.	
	Marks: Award 2 marks for a detailed explanation. Award 1 mark for a partial explanation.	
	 Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited). a face-to-face (or telephone) interview (1 mark) between a medical practitioner and a person/patient (1 mark) involving verbal and non-verbal exchange (1 mark) 	
	 in a medical setting (1 mark) designed to diagnose symptoms, prescribe or assess treatment (1 mark) 	
6(b)	Suggest how observing the pain behaviour of a patient can help assess their level of pain during a clinical interview.	2
	Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate suggestion identified but not applied.	
	 Answers may include_(other appropriate responses to be credited). non-verbal: any behaviour exhibited by the patient indicating the pain (1 mark) they are in e.g. facial expression of distress, distorted ambulation (rubbing or holding) (2 marks) parts of UAB can be used (with a modified time scale) (1 mark) e.g. facial grimaces, standing posture, mobility, stationary movement, body language (2 marks) with a modified scale of none/occasional/frequent. 	
6(c)	Explain two weaknesses of using a clinical interview to measure pain.	4
	Marks: Up to 2 marks for each weakness. Award 2 marks for an appropriate weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate weakness stated but not applied.	
	Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Weaknesses:	
	 a clinical interview gives no precise indicator of the level of pain (1 mark) which a psychometric measure would (such as the MPQ) (2 marks) a patient may not know sufficient terminology to describe symptoms or have enough knowledge about where body parts are (1 mark) such as pain from indigestion mistaken for a heart attack (2 marks) NB: credit 'social desirability' and/or 'researcher/doctor bias'. People can exaggerate symptoms of pain; doctors underestimate pain e.g. Brudvik et al. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
7	The key study by Giacalone and Rosenfeld (1987) on sabotage in the workplace divided workers into two groups:	
7(a)(i)	Explain how the sample of participants were divided into these two groups.	2
	Syllabus 4.5.3 Key study reasons for sabotage in the workplace: Giacalone and Rosenfeld (1987).	
	Marks: Award 2 marks for a detailed explanation. Award 1 mark for a partial explanation.	
	Definitive answer	
	 participants responses were 'summed' and median splits calculated (Md = 25.5) high reason accepters scored high (above 25.5); low reason accepters scored low (below 25.5) NB: the four 'global' categories e.g. work slowdown's are not groups. 	
7(a)(ii)	State <u>one</u> difference between the results of the Sabotage Methods Questionnaire for these two groups of participants.	2
	Marks: Award 2 marks where both sides are included and difference is clear. Award 1 mark for general statement of difference	
	Three types of answer acceptable here: (i) High reason accepters showed 'a variety of reasons would more readily justify all forms of sabotage'. Low reason accepters showed fewer reasons would justify all forms of sabotage (2 marks) (ii) (general differences)	
	high reason accepters (more than low reason accepters): justified production slowdowns more (1 mark) justified destruction of machinery, premises or products (1 mark) justified causing more chaos (1 mark) (iii): (Specific examples from the 29 sabotage forms)	
	Example: Q20 Attempting to scare a foreman/supervisor into quitting/getting a transfer HR 2.53, LR 1.11 (2 marks) Q19 Setting up a foreman to get him/her into trouble HR 2.74, LR 1.32 (2 marks)	

2024

Answer			
Suggest <u>one</u> way that the reliability of the Sabotage Methods Questionnaire could be tested.	2		
Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate effect suggestion but not applied.			
 Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited). test-retest where the test is repeated at a later date (1 mark). The SMQ could be given to the same participant on different occasions (2 marks) the split-half method involves splitting the test into two and administering each half of the test to the same person. (1 mark) The SMQ scores from the two halves should show a strong correlation (2 marks). 			
Explain two weaknesses of using a volunteer sample of workers to answer questionnaires about sabotage.			
Marks: Up to 2 marks for each weakness. Award 2 marks for an appropriate weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate weakness stated but not applied.			
Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Weaknesses:			
the participant may agree to take part because they have not sabotaged anything (1 mark) and so the true extent of sabotage forms is not known (2 marks)			
 the participant may not be honest in their answers (1 mark) because if they told the truth about sabotage they may lose their job (2 marks) the participants are volunteers and have a particular type of personality 			
	Suggest one way that the reliability of the Sabotage Methods Questionnaire could be tested. Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate effect suggestion but not applied. Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited). • test-retest where the test is repeated at a later date (1 mark). The SMQ could be given to the same participant on different occasions (2 marks) • the split-half method involves splitting the test into two and administering each half of the test to the same person. (1 mark) The SMQ scores from the two halves should show a strong correlation (2 marks). Explain two weaknesses of using a volunteer sample of workers to answer questionnaires about sabotage. Marks: Up to 2 marks for each weakness. Award 2 marks for an appropriate weakness stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate weakness stated but not applied. Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Weaknesses: • the participant may agree to take part because they have not sabotaged anything (1 mark) and so the true extent of sabotage forms is not known (2 marks) • the participant may not be honest in their answers (1 mark) because if they told the truth about sabotage they may lose their job (2 marks)		

Question	Answer	Marks
8	Studies have been conducted on open plan offices, e.g. Oldham and Brass (1979).	
8(a)	Explain what is meant by an 'open plan office'.	2
	Syllabus: 4.4.1 impact of the design of the work environment focusing on open plan offices, including a study, e.g. Oldham and Brass (1979).	
	Marks: Award 1 marks each feature (max. 2 marks).	
	 Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): no interiors walls or full ceiling partitions no use of small, enclosed rooms such as private offices large, open spaces desk or head height partitions no private place 	

Question	Answer	Marks
8(b)	Suggest why workers' levels of concentration may be affected by an open plan office design.	2
	Marks: Award 2 marks for an appropriate suggestion stated and applied to study with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate suggestion identified but not applied.	
	 Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): noise levels might be higher in an open-plan office (1 mark) meaning that background noise is much higher resulting in difficulties in concentration (2 marks) a lack of partitions in an open plan office might lead to distractions from other workers, such as walking about (1 mark) leading to inability to concentrate (2 marks) NB: also credit two different things e.g. level of noise; lack of privacy. 	
8(c)	Explain two reasons why generalisations cannot be made about the effect on workers of open plan office design from a single study.	4
	Marks: up to 2 marks for each reason: Award 2 marks for an appropriate reason stated and applied as required by the question with detail / elaboration / example. Award 1 mark for an appropriate reason stated but not applied.	
	Answers may include (other appropriate responses to be credited): Cannot generalise:	
	a single study probably uses only one type of worker which restricts generalisations (1 mark) e.g. Oldham and Brass only studied one type of worker (those in a newspaper organisation) and other types of workers might be different (2 marks)	
	 within an open-plan office, workers can adapt to the environment (1 mark). Workers can add their own barrier to protect their territory, wear headphones, etc. to help them concentrate, for example (2 marks) any one study will be conducted in a particular country which may not 	
	generalise to other countries (1 mark). Workers in some countries may have their concentration levels distupted but in others it might be welcomed (2 marks)	

Question	Answer			
9(a)	Plan a study using a questionnaire vigeneralised anxiety disorder in university include details about: • question interpretation/scoring • the questionnaire technique. Use Table A: AO2 Application to mark of Credit both general features and specification.	candidate responses to this question.	10	
	The specific features of the plan should include: technique (paper/pencil, online, postal) format (open and/or closed) examples of questions question scoring/interpretation number of questions	The general features of the plan should include (if appropriate): sample and sampling technique ethical guidelines a procedure a location type of data, analysis of data, use of descriptive statistics an aim or hypothesis (directional or non-directional)/null hypothesis steps for making the study valid and reliable		

Question		Answer	Marks	
9(b)	For one	For <u>one</u> piece of psychological knowledge on which your plan is based:		
9(b)(i)	Describe	this psychological knowledge.	4	
	related di measure	: 1.4.1 diagnostic criteria (ICD-11) of anxiety disorders and fear- isorders: – generalised anxiety disorder s of anxiety and fear-related disorders: – Generalised Anxiety assessment (GAD-7).		
	its cause which into nausea, it and/or brodays. Stronght is condition 2 In suppossing and 3 near anxiety, 6	dised anxiety disorder: a person has a 'panic attack' but do not know a Characteristics: excessive, uncontrollable and often irrational worry, erferes with daily functioning; physical symptoms of headaches, numbness in hands and feet, muscle tension, difficulty swallowing eathing, trembling, twitching and sweating; feeling anxious most uggling to remember the last time they felt relaxed; as one anxious is resolved, another may appear about a different issue. Chronic		
	Marks	Description		
	3–4	The knowledge is appropriate. Relevant points are correctly described in good detail.		
	1–2	Basic points are identified with some elaboration and understanding. The answer lacks detail (a sentence or two).		
	0	No creditable response		

Question		Answer	Marks
9(b)(ii)	Explain I	how you used <u>two</u> features of this psychological knowledge to ir study.	4
		es should explain how the psychological knowledge described in informed their plan in part (a) .	
	For each	feature:	
	Marks	Description	
	2	Suitable answer that relates a feature and explains how the feature was used, expanded or modified to make it appropriate to the plan. The knowledge has clearly been applied to the plan.	
	1	Basic answer that identifies a feature	
	0	No creditable response	
	NB	1 mark for explanation of follow on from (b)i; 1 mark for explanation appearing in (a) x2	
	example)	design paper/pencil questionnaire with open questions asking (for bescribe the symptoms you experience when having an attack'. "Do you have trouble relaxing? Explain why' (0–3: not at all > 10 yery day).	
9(c)(i)		one reason for the way you chose to interpret/score responses questions.	2
	Candidat	es should use the choice of interpretation/scoring stated in (a).	
		marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	partion (1 maximum) yes/r for positive a 'conv	n-point) scale was used to keep the answer choice simple for cipants because each participant's score could be compared ark) related to plan (2 marks). no (don't know) answers were used to keep the answer choice simple articipants yet provide quantitative data for statistical analysis answers gave qualitative data so was coded by raters/judges to vert' into quantitative data for statistical analysis (1 mark) related to y (2 marks)	

Question	Answer	Marks
9(c)(ii)	Explain <u>one</u> weakness of the way you chose to interpret/score responses to your questions.	2
	Candidates should use the choice of interpretation/scoring responses in (c)(i). Award 2 marks if a weakness is given and applied to the plan Award 1 mark if a weakness is given without being applied to the plan	
	 Example: the raters/judges interpreting the answers may not agree leading to false findings (1 mark) related to study (2 marks) only one person gathered the answers and they might have some bias toward the outcome of the study (1 mark) related to study (2 marks) 	
9(c)(iii)	Explain one reason for your choice of questionnaire technique.	2
	Candidates should use the questionnaire technique stated in (a). Award 2 marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. Award 1 mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	 Example: Paper/pencil because they are face-to-face perhaps participants are more likely to complete the questionnaire and perhaps be more honest in their answers (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks). paper/pencil because the researcher is there at the time watching and waiting and so the environment is perhaps more likely to be controlled if done in a laboratory with students for example (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks). Online online because the sample size can be much larger and potentially much more diverse than paper/pencil or face-to-face which is probably done in a relatively restricted place (in street, laboratory) related to plan (2 marks). online because there is no researcher waiting, watching or influencing the person in any way. The participant is more likely to be honest in their responses related to plan (2 marks). Postal postal because the sample size can be much larger and potentially much more diverse than paper/pencil or face-to-face which is probably done in in a relatively restricted place (in street, laboratory) (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks). postal because there is no researcher waiting, watching or influencing the person in any way. The participant is more likely to be honest in their responses (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks). 	

Question	Answer	Marks
10(a)	Plan a study using a postal questionnaire to investigate the 'explorer' type of spatial behaviour pattern of shoppers. Your plan must include details about: • sampling technique • type(s) of data. Use Table A: AO2 Application to mark candidate responses to this question. Credit both general features and specific features of the plan.	10
	The specific features of the plan should include: • technique (paper/pencil, online, postal) • format (open and/or closed) • examples of questions • question scoring/interpretation • number of questions • type of data, analysis of data, use of descriptive statistics • an aim or hypothesis (directional) rould include (if appropriate): • sample and sampling technique • ethical guidelines • a procedure • a location • type of data, analysis of data, use of descriptive statistics • an aim or hypothesis (directional) roundirectional) rould hypothesis • steps for making the study valid and reliable	
10(b)	Credit other elements of the plan as appropriate using the marking grid. For one piece of psychological knowledge on which your plan is based:	

Question		Answer	Marks
10(b)(i)	Describe	e this psychological knowledge.	4
	including spatial be	s: 2.2.1 shopper behaviour focusing on spatial movement patterns types of trip (short, round, central and wave) and the five types of ehaviour patterns (specialist, native, tourist, explorer, raider); the use tracking, including a study, e.g. Gil et al. (2009).	
	NB: the 0 used.	Gil et al. (2009) study is an 'e.g.' study and an alternative may be	
	used CC results, C explorer: once, slo cover all	by Gil et al. (2009) studied 480 participants in a supermarket They TV and followed participants' movement around the store. From the Gil et al. identified five types of shopper behaviour patterns. The shoppers making the longest trips, going everywhere more than owly, with long interactions with the products and buying a lot. They the aisles in the store and 82% are on a main mission. 62% are lone; 87% take a trolley.	
	Marks	Description	
	3–4	The knowledge is appropriate. Relevant points are correctly described in good detail.	
	1–2	Basic points are identified with some elaboration and understanding. The answer lacks detail (a sentence or two).	
	0	No creditable response	
10(b)(ii)	Explain plan you	how you used <u>two</u> features of this psychological knowledge to ir study.	4
		tes should explain how the psychological knowledge described in sinformed their plan in part (a). feature:	
	Marks	Description	
	2	Suitable answer that relates a feature and explains how the feature was used, expanded or modified to make it appropriate to the plan. The knowledge has clearly been applied to the plan.	
	1	Basic answer that identifies a feature	
	0	No creditable response	
	NB	1 mark for explanation of follow on from (b)i; 1 mark for explanation appearing in (a) x2	
	data. Qu	: postal questionnaire using closed questions to gather quantitative estions: 'Are your trips relatively short or long?' When shopping do all the aisles? How often do you take a trolley: always/often/never.'	

Question	Answer	Marks
10(c)(i)	Explain one reason for your choice of sampling technique.	2
	Candidates should use the choice of sampling technique stated in (a). Award 2 marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. Award 1 mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	 e a random sample was chosen so everyone in the target population has an equal chance of participating (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) e an opportunity sample was chosen because large numbers can be obtained relatively more easily than other methods. (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) 	
	a volunteer sample was chosen because people are willing and more likely to participate; (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks).	
10(c)(ii)	Explain one weakness of your choice of sampling technique.	2
	Candidates should use the choice of sampling technique stated in (c)(i). Award 2 marks if a weakness is given and justified. Award 1 mark if a weakness is given but not justified.	
	 a random sample means that people have to be known to 'choose them from a hat' and then they may not agree to participate (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) an opportunity sample might result in researcher bias, where participants who 'look appropriate' are selected (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) 	
	a volunteer sample might be biased because they volunteered; perhaps more likely to respond to demand characteristics (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks).	
10(c)(iii)	Explain one reason for your choice of type(s) of data.	2
	Candidates should use the type(s) of data stated in (a). Award 2 marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. Award 1 mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	 e quantitative data can be statistically analysed by researchers (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) e qualitative data can allow participants to provide reasons for their answer (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) e both quantitative and qualitative data can be gathered to provide 'best of both worlds' (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) 	

Question	Answer	Marks	
11(a)	Some children participated in a healthy eating programme when at school to encourage them to eat more vegetables. Plan a study using a telephone interview of these children, once they are adults, to investigate whether their participation in this healthy eating programme resulted in eating more vegetables as adults.		
	 Your plan must include details about: question format interview format. Use Table A: AO2 Application to mark candidate responses to this question. Credit both general features and specific features of the plan.		
	The specific features of the plan should include: interview technique (telephone or face-to-face) interview format (structured, unstructured, semi-structured). question format (open and/or closed) examples of questions question scoring/interpretation number of questions should include (if appropriate): sample and sampling technique ethical guidelines a procedure a location type of data, analysis of data, use of descriptive statistics an aim or hypothesis (directional or nondirectional)/null hypothesis steps for making the study valid and reliable		
11(b)	Credit other elements of the plan as appropriate using the marking grid. For one piece of psychological knowledge on which your plan is based:		

Question		Answer	Marks
11(b)(i)	Describe	e this psychological knowledge.	4
	-	: 3.5.2 schools with a focus on healthy eating, including a study, e.g. t al. (2003).	
	may be u Tapper e programm This field experime lunchtime	t al. (2000) used role models called the 'Food Dudes' and devised a me aimed at promoting the eating of fruit and vegetables in schools. experiment was longitudinal. Over a 5-month period, children in an ental and a control school were presented with fruit and vegetables at e. Results: lunchtime and home consumption in the experimental as substantially higher than the control group, so the programme was	
	Marks	Description	
	3–4	The knowledge is appropriate. Relevant points are correctly described in good detail.	
	1–2	Basic points are identified with some elaboration and understanding. The answer lacks detail (a sentence or two).	
	0	No creditable response	
11(b)(ii)	Explain plan you	how you used <u>two</u> features of this psychological knowledge to ir study.	4
		es should explain how the psychological knowledge described in informed their plan in part (a).	
	For each	feature:	
	Marks	Description	
	2	Suitable answer that relates a feature and explains how the feature was used, expanded or modified to make it appropriate to the plan. The knowledge has clearly been applied to the plan.	
	1	Basic answer that identifies a feature	
	0	No creditable response	
	NB	1 mark for explanation of follow on from (b)i; 1 mark for explanation appearing in (a) x2	
	happene	structured interview with open and closed questions. 'Describe what d when you participated in the programme when you were a child.' Is sumption of fruit/vegetables: above average/average/below average? why.	

Question	Answer	Marks
11(c)(i)	Explain one reason for your choice of question format.	2
	Candidates must use the question format stated in (a) Award 2 marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. Award 1 mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	NB: candidates may use a combination of both open and closed. Credit as appropriate.	
	Example: open questions: the data gathered may be 'rich' and detailed (1 mark) related to plan	
	(2 marks)	
	 it allows participants the opportunity to express a range of feelings and explain their behaviour (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) closed questions: 	
	answers are in the same format for all participants (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks)	
	 answers may be easy to score/analyse (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) relatively large numbers of participants can be questioned relatively quickly (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) 	
11(c)(ii)	Explain one weakness of your choice of question format.	2
	Candidates must use the question format stated in (ci) Award 2 marks if a weakness is given and justified. Award 1 mark if a weakness is given but not justified.	
	Example	
	 Open questions: participants may not wish to express a range of feelings or explain their behaviour (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks). 	
	 Closed questions participants have no opportunity to express a range of feelings or explain their behaviour stating 'yes' for example, does not allow participants to explain how they feel (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) 	
11(c)(iii)	Explain one reason for your choice of interview format.	2
	Candidates should use the interview format stated in (a). Award 2 marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. Award 1 mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	Example:	
	 a structured interview was used so all participants received the same questions in the same order (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) a semi structured interview was used so although there were fixed questions there was also the option to ask questions that might arise during the interview (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) 	
	 an unstructured interview was used so participants had the flexibility so answer in any way they pleased (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks) 	

Question	Ans	swer	Marks
12	Shiftwork can be divided into two types: slow rotation and rapid rotation.		
12(a)	Plan an experiment to investigate w negative effect on the health of world	· ·	10
	Your plan must include details about controls dependent variable Use Table A: AO2 Application to mark Credit both general features and speci	candidate responses to this question.	
	The specific features of the plan should include: type of experiment independent variable dependent variable controls choice of experimental design. If appropriate: counterbalancing, random allocation (RCT) single blind/double blind other appropriate features Credit other elements of the plan as appropriate of the plan appropriate of th	The general features of the plan should include (if appropriate): sample and sampling technique ethical guidelines a procedure a location type of data, analysis of data, use of descriptive statistics an aim or hypothesis (directional or non-directional)/ null hypothesis steps for making the study valid and reliable	

Question		Answer	Marks
12(b)	For one	For <u>one</u> piece of psychological knowledge on which your plan is based:	
12(b)(i)	Describe	this psychological knowledge.	4
		: 4.4.2 effects of shiftwork on health and accidents, including a study, et al. (1992).	
	NB: the C	Gold et al. study is an 'e.g.' study so an alternative may be used.	
	Description : Slow rotation (shift change every 3–4 weeks) is best; rapid rotation (change every few days) worst for health. Health effects include sleep disturbances, and physical and mental fatigue. Shiftwork is also associated with an increased likelihood of an accident. Knutsson (2003) reviewed the effects of shiftwork on health and found that shift work is associated with specific pathological disorders, particularly peptic ulcer disease, coronary heart disease and compromised pregnancy outcome. Gold et al. (1992) found nurses were more likely to nod off, have frequent lapses of attention and slower reaction time – but these are not really negative health effects.		
	Marks	Description	
	3–4	The knowledge is appropriate. Relevant points are correctly described in good detail.	
	1–2	Basic points are identified with some elaboration and understanding. The answer lacks detail (a sentence or two).	
	0	No creditable response	

Question		Answer	Marks
12(b)(ii)	Explain plan you	how you used <u>two</u> features of this psychological knowledge to ur study.	4
		tes should explain how the psychological knowledge described in s informed their plan in part (a) .	
	For each feature:		
	Marks	Description	
	2	Suitable answer that relates a feature and explains how the feature was used, expanded or modified to make it appropriate to the plan. The knowledge has clearly been applied to the plan.	
	1	Basic answer that identifies a feature	
	0	No creditable response	
	NB	1 mark for explanation of follow on from (b)i; 1 mark for explanation appearing in (a) x2	
	every fev peptic ulo	e: IV: slow rotation (change 3–4 weeks) and rapid rotation (change w days). DV number of instances of incidents reported (accidents, cers, sleep disturbance) in a three-year period. Controls: same on; same shift pattern, no combination).	
12(c)(i)	Explain	one reason for your choice of controls.	2
	Candidat	tes should use the controls stated in (a).	
		marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	IV (1 • situa	ne study is valid; it is more likely that the DV has been caused by the mark) related to plan (2 marks) ational variables are controlled to control extraneous variables such oise, temperature and lighting (1 mark) related to plan (2 marks).	
12(c)(ii)	Explain	one weakness of your choice of controls.	2
	Candidat	tes should use the controls stated in (ci).	
		marks if a weakness is given and justified. mark if a weakness is given but not justified.	
	(1 m	many controls are applied the experiment loses ecological validity eark) related to study (2 marks) many controls are applied demand characteristics are perhaps more y (1 mark) related to study (2 marks)	

Question	Answer	Marks
12(c)(iii)	Explain one reason for your choice of dependent variable.	2
	Candidates should use the choice of dependent variable stated in (a).	
	Award 2 marks if an appropriate reason is given and justified. Award 1 mark if an appropriate reason is given but not justified.	
	Example: explanation of why the specific DV was chosen (1 mark) related to study i.e. actual DV (2 marks) e.g. the number of days workers in each condition take off work for health reasons was chosen because this shows there was sufficient reason to take a day off and gives a quantitative measure which is useful for analysis.	